Lamin A/C Gene Mutations in Familial Cardiomyopathy with Advanced Atrioventricular Block and Arrhythmia
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Lamin A and C proteins, encoded by the lamin A/C gene (LMNA), are inner nuclear membrane proteins predominantly expressed in terminally differentiated cells. Mutations in LMNA can cause various forms of cardiomyopathy with arrhythmia in an autosomal dominant manner. We collected and evaluated the clinical characteristics of unclassified familial cardiomyopathy with advanced AV block and sporadic cases with advanced AV block. Mutation in LMNA was directly screened using the cycle sequencing method in 5 probands of the familial cardiomyopathy and 60 sporadic cases with advanced AV block. In four of the five familial cases (80%), we identified four distinct mutations: two protein-truncation mutations, R225X and 815_818delinsCCAGAC, and two missense mutations, Y259H and R166P. No sporadic cases carried LMNA mutation. Left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD) was slightly enlarged in LMNA mutant carriers (123.5 ± 9.5%) as well as in non-carriers (125.1 ± 13.3%), while left ventricular fractional shortening (LVFS) was preserved in LMNA mutant carriers (32.3 ± 4.8%) and non-carriers (37.6 ± 6.8%). In LMNA mutation carriers, the average age at onset of advanced AV block is significantly lower than that in non-carriers (43.7 ± 9.5 vs. 65.3 ± 13 yr., p < 0.01). Ventricular tachycardia, sudden death, and poor prognosis were observed in LMNA mutation carriers. LMNA mutation could cause familial cardiomyopathy with insignificant LV remodeling, early-age onset of advanced AV block, and lethal ventricular arrhythmia. Screening of LMNA mutation might be beneficial for risk stratification and clinical management of this type of unclassified familial cardiomyopathy. ——— Cardiomyopathy; atrioventricular block; ventricular tachycardia; sudden death; molecular genetics.

al. 1994; Fatkin et al. 1999) in an autosomal dominant manner. Cardiomyopathy associated with LMNA mutation is characterized by a variety of phenotypes, including supraventricular arrhythmia, ventricular arrhythmia, and skeletal muscle involvement, rather than the pure form of DCM (Brodsky et al. 2000; Taylor et al. 2003). For LMNA mutation in DCM patients, a prevalence as high as 33% has been reported; this shows that the gene considerably contributes to the development of cardiomyopathy (Arbustini et al. 2002).

The purpose of this study was to examine whether LMNA mutation is involved in some types of cardiomyopathy with cardiac conduction disturbance and/or arrhythmia and to evaluate the specific clinical characteristics of the disease.

**Methods**

**Patient enrollment**

We sequentially enrolled cases of advanced atrioventricular (AV) block from the Tohoku University Hospital and its five affiliated hospitals, from April 2003 to August 2006. Advanced AV block was diagnosed on the basis of progressive conduction disturbance, such as high-degree AV block and/or chronic atrial fibrillation with low ventricular rhythm (< 40 bpm) on 12-lead ECG and/or and 24-hour ambulatory ECG monitoring. We identified 5 cases of familial cardiomyopathy (see Fig. 1) and 60 sporadic cases (see Table 2) with advanced AV block. Detailed clinical information was obtained for each subject, including family history, age of manifestation, initial symptoms, New York Heart Association (NYHA) heart failure classification, physical examination findings, echocardiogram (2D, M-mode, and color Doppler), and measurement of serum creatine kinase (MM isoform) levels. Left ventricular remodeling was assessed with left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD): the percentage of predicted value corrected for age and body surface area. And left ventricular systolic function was assessed with left ventricular fractional shortening (LVFS): the percentage of change in left ventricular dimension with systole.

Family members showing AV block and sudden cardiac death were designated as affected. Familial cardiomyopathy was recognized if at least two family members were affected (Mestroni et al. 1999). Phenotypic characterization was updated with additional clinical information and was expanded to include information on family members. All cases with advanced AV block secondary to ischemic heart disease, valvular heart disease, myocarditis, skeletal myopathy, autoimmune disease, surgical complication, and other apparent causes were excluded. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tohoku University School of Medicine. All participants of this study gave informed consents for the clinical and genetic analysis.

**Mutation screening of LMNA**

Mutation screening of LMNA was performed according to the procedures described in the literature (Otomo et al. 2005). We obtained 10-15 mL of peripheral venous blood samples from each participant. Genomic deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was extracted from white blood cells with the QIAquick DNA blood kit (QIAGEN, Santa Clarita, California). Twelve coding exons of LMNA were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with primers derived from intronic sequences. We purified 20 μL of PCR products using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN). Bidirectional direct sequencing analysis was performed with an automated fluorescence sequencer, ABI PRISM 310 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California), by the dye-primer cycle sequencing method previously described (the BigDye Terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit, Applied Biosystems, and the DyeEx spin kit, QIAGEN) (Otomo et al. 2005). Total RNA was purified from white blood cells with the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and subjected to reverse transcription as described in the literature (Otomo et al. 2005). A cDNA fragment containing the exon-exon junction of interest was amplified by PCR. The PCR products were size-separated by 5% NuSieve GTG agarose (FMC BioProducts, Rockland) gel electrophoresis.

To confirm the direct sequencing data and to test whether the mutation was a polymorphism, we performed either the PCR-based restriction fragment-length polymorphism analysis or enzyme-mismatch cleavage analysis on both affected and non-affected family members, as well as 172 ethnically matched controls (344 chromosomes, randomly selected from our control genomic store, > 30 years of age). For an analysis of predicted change in the amino acid sequence, the altered residues were examined for conservation across the species.

**Statistical analysis**

Quantitative results were expressed as means ± standard deviation. Differences in continuous variables were analyzed using Student’s t test. Survival curves were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method. A value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Mutation screening of LMNA in familial cardiomyopathies and sporadic cases with advanced AV block**

Mutation screening of all translated exons of LMNA was subsequently carried out on the 5 probands of familial cardiomyopathy (Table 1 and Fig. 1) and 60 sporadic cases with advanced AV block (Table 2) by PCR amplification of genomic DNA. In four of the five families, we identified four distinct mutations: a known one, R225X, and three novel mutations, 815_818delinsCCAGAC, Y259H, and R166P. No additional mutation was identified in the coding region of LMNA in the four probands with defined mutation. We also detected four known synonymous single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), 1017G > A, 1338T > C, 1551G > A, and 1698C > T in the LMNA coding region of our 60 sporadic cases. We further identified a single base-pair change in intron 5 (IVS5-8C > G) in one case. This single base-pair change was not detected in the controls. However, the base-pair change could not be considered a disease-related SNP because no mRNA splicing abnormality was found.

In the Family A proband III-2, we identified a novel LMNA mutation with deletion of an ACAAA and insertion of a CCAGAC sequence at nucleotide number 815 to 818, which is abbreviated as 815_818delinsCCAGAC (Fig. 2A). The mutation predicts a frameshift that is expected to completely alter the amino acid sequence that started from codon 272 and terminated at codon 480 in coil 2 of lamin A and C proteins (Fig. 2B). We then performed sequencing of exons harboring the LMNA mutation for other family mem-
bers to determine their mutation carrier status. We also carried out restriction-enzyme analysis using MscI for the family for demonstrating the segregation of the mutation (Fig. 1). In Family B III-5, we identified a nonsense mutation, R225X (Fig. 2A). This mutation was confirmed by enzyme-mismatch cleavage analysis. The mutation predicts termination of amino acid coding at codon 225 and truncation in the coil1b domain of lamin A and C proteins (Fig. 2B). This mutation was reported to be linked to DCM with conduction disturbance (Jakobs et al. 2001). In the Family C proband III-8, we identified a novel LMNA mutation, Y259H. The mutation was confirmed by enzyme-mismatch cleavage analysis. This mutation predicts substitution of the evolutionally highly conserved hydrophobic amino acid tyrosine (abbreviated as Y) for the basic amino acid histidine (abbreviated as H) at codon 259 in the coil2 domain (Fig. 2A and 2C). In the Family D proband III-2, a novel LMNA mutation, R166P, was identified, and confirmed by restriction enzyme MspI analysis. The evolutionally highly conserved basic amino acid arginine (abbreviated as R) is substituted for the hydrophobic amino acid proline (abbreviated as P) at codon 166 in the coil1b domain (Fig. 2A and 2C). No mutation was present in the 172 unrelated individuals. LMNA mutations accounted for 80% (4/5) of familial cardiomyopathy with advanced AV block.

Table 1. Genotype-phenotype characteristics of familial cardiomyopathy with advanced AV block.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Codon</th>
<th>LMNA mutation</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (yr.)</th>
<th>EPS</th>
<th>NYHA class</th>
<th>Age (yr.), status</th>
<th>LVEDD (%)</th>
<th>FS (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>815-818delInsCCAGAC</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>56, S.D.</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>673C &gt; T</td>
<td>R225X</td>
<td>III-5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>AHB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>30, alive</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>775T &gt; C</td>
<td>Y259H</td>
<td>III-8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>30, alive</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>498G &gt; C</td>
<td>R166P</td>
<td>III-2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>AHB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>30, alive</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>775T &gt; C</td>
<td>II-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>71, alive</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ID, pedigree identification number; M, male; F, female; A VB, atrioventricular block; PMI, pacemaker implantation; VT, ventricular tachycardia; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; EPS, electrophysiological study; AHB, atrio-His block; HH'B, intra-Hisian block; HVB, His-ventricular block; NYHA, New York Heart Association (class); LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; FS, fractional shortening;

Table 2. Clinical characteristics of patients with sporadic AV blocks.

| Male, % (n = 60) | 51 |
| Age of PMI, yrs (n = 60) | 62.6 ± 15.1 |
| Basal rhythm, % (n = 60) | 94 |
| SR | 6 |
| AF/AFL | 64 |
| AHB | 36 |
| HH'B, HVB | 11 |
| NYHA, class, % (n = 60) | 12 |
| I | 95 |
| II | 5 |

Values are given as mean ± s.d.
PMI, pacemaker implantation; SR, sinus rhythm; AF, atrial fibrillation; AFL, atrial flutter; AVB, atrioventricular block; AHB, atrio-His block; HH'B, intra-Hisian block; HVB, His-ventricular block; NYHA, New York Heart Association; LAD, left atrial diameter; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; FS, fractional shortening.
Clinical characteristics of LMNA mutation carriers and non-carriers with advanced AV block

In 60 cases of sporadic advanced AV block, without LMNA mutation, the mean age of onset was 62.6 ± 15.1 years (Table 2). Most cases were in NYHA class I, but five patients were in NYHA class II. Echocardiogram showed slightly enlarged LVEDD (125.1 ± 13.3%) and preserved LVFS (37.6 ± 6.8%) at the onset of advanced AV block (n = 40). Cardiac electrophysiological study (EPS) showed that advanced AV block occurred at the AV nodal level (AH block in EPS) in 11 patients and at the infra-His nodal level in 12 patients.

Detailed clinical data of the four probands and two affected members with the LMNA mutations were available (Table 1). These affected members had symptoms categorized as NYHA class I at onset. Advanced AV block was manifested at a mean age of 43.7 ± 9.5 years (n = 5), significantly lower than in sporadic cases (p < 0.01). EPS revealed that the site of AV block was at the AH level in Family A III-2 and Family C IV-1, and at the AH and HV levels in Family D III-2. Echocardiogram performed on the affected members showed slightly enlarged LVEDD (123.5 ± 9.5%, n = 4) and preserved LVFS (32.3 ± 4.8%, n = 4), with no significant difference between the familial cardiomyopathy and sporadic cases at onset. LVEDD (127.6 ± 7.8%, n = 3) and LVFS (28.7 ± 10.5%, n = 3) were still preserved when the implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) was inserted (51.7 ± 4.7 years, n = 3) (Table 1). There was no skeletal myopathy or increase in serum creatine phosphokinase levels.

Affected family members manifested a variety of arrhythmias besides advanced AV block (Fig. 1). Atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter was documented in Family A II-11 and III-2; Family B III-5; Family C II-2, II-4, and III-8; and Family D III-8. Ventricular tachycardia (VT) was documented in Family A III-2, Family C IV-1, and Family D III-2, and ICD therapy was performed on Family A III-2 and Family D III-2. Sudden death was observed in Family A I-3, II-7, and II-11; Family C II-2 and II-4; and Family D II-15. Affected family member II-11 of Family A died suddenly, even after pacemaker implantation. Prognosis of the LMNA mutation carriers in our cohort was poor, as previously reported for those with DCM (Taylor et al. 2003). The mean survival age for patients with LMNA mutation (n = 12) was 58.3 ± 8.6 years. The cause of death included lethal arrhythmia (n = 7), thromboembolic stroke attributed
to atrial fibrillation \((n = 4)\), and congestive heart failure \((n = 1)\).

**Discussion**

In this study, we identified one known and three novel \(LMNA\) mutations in a series of familial cardiomyopathy cases with advanced AV block and arrhythmia. We demonstrated that cardiomyopathy associated with \(LMNA\) mutation has unique characteristics, including early-age onset of AV nodal conduction block, complication of lethal ventricular arrhythmias, and poor prognosis.

**\(LMNA\) mutations and disease mechanisms**

Heterozygous mutation in \(LMNA\) can cause various types of familial diseases in striated muscle, peripheral nerve, and fat tissue, and systemic progeria syndrome. The disease caused by \(LMNA\) mutation is called “laminopathy” (Burke and Stewart 2002; Eriksson et al. 2003; Hennekam 2006). Heterozygous mutation, which causes amino acid substitution or decreased gene dosage (haploinsufficiency), can lead to an autosomal dominant familial disease with high penetrance. Four hypotheses have been proposed for the molecular mechanisms of \(LMNA\) mutation: (a) loss of structural integrity, (b) altered gene expression, (c) impaired progenitor cell proliferation, and (d) accumulation of toxic prelamin A (Broers et al. 2006). How \(LMNA\) mutations affect molecular and cellular mechanisms of each organ and cause the particular disease still remains to be elucidated.

Of the \(LMNA\) mutations identified in this study, two were from protein truncation, R225X and 815_818delinsCCAGAC, and two from amino acid substitution, Y259H and R166P. The two protein-truncation mutations are predicted to cause haploinsufficiency in normal lamin A and C proteins. The two amino acid-substitution mutations are very rare polarity-change substitutions of amino acids for evolutionally highly conserved amino acids and are predicted to cause an autosomal dominant disease in normal lamin A and C proteins. The four mutations are predicted to have the same disease causing effects as the previously reported \(LMNA\) mutations. Moreover, no recognizable differences between the truncation mutation and missense mutation cases were found with regard to severity of clinical symptoms.

**Cardiomyopathy associated with \(LMNA\) mutation**

In the \(LMNA\) mutation carriers, LVEDD was slightly

---

**Fig. 2.** \(LMNA\) mutations and predicted effects of the mutations in four families with advanced AV block. A. Mutation screening of \(LMNA\) was performed with the direct sequencing method. In the probands of the four families (Family A III-2, Family B III-5, Family C III-8, and Family D III-2), heterozygous variations in DNA sequence were detected as overlapped base-pair calls in contrast to single peak base-pair calls in wild type. B. The \(LMNA\) mutation 815_818delinsCCAGAC causes a frameshift at codon 272 and premature termination of translation at codon 480. The \(LMNA\) mutation R225X causes a protein truncation of lamin A and C proteins at codon 225. C. \(LMNA\) mutations Y259H and R166P alter highly conserved amino acids among the species.
enlarged but LVFS preserved, similar to sporadic advanced AV block without LMNA mutation. Cardiomyopathy associated with LMNA mutation was indistinguishable from other idiopathic forms of advanced AV block diagnosed with the usual clinical procedures.

It has been previously demonstrated (Taylor et al. 2003) that cardiac phenotypes of LMNA mutations vary in DCM with and without AV block, and in mild forms of DCM. More variation may exist according to the cardiac phenotypes of LMNA mutation carriers. A meta-analysis of the clinical characteristics of 299 carriers of LMNA mutations demonstrated that the major cardiac phenotypes with LMNA mutations are cardiac dysrhythmias (92%), including supraventricular arrhythmia, AV conduction disturbance, and VT after the age of 30 years, rather than heart failure (64%) after the age of 50 years (van Berlo et al. 2005). In our patient cohort, the cardiac phenotype of LMNA mutation was advanced AV block with insignificant left ventricular (LV) remodeling and ventricular arrhythmia.

No LMNA mutation was found in a previous mutation screening on 100 Japanese DCM patients (Shimizu et al. 2005). Their patient enrollment criteria were as follows: echocardiographic demonstration of depressed systolic function of the LV (LV ejection fraction < 0.45 and/or fractional shortening < 0.25) and a dilated LV (LV end-diastolic dimension > 117% of the predicted value corrected for age and body surface area). Patient population of our study was different from their patient population because of the preserved LV systolic function. It is conceivable that some cases of LMNA mutations may be treated as unclassified familial atrial fibrillation, AV block, VT, or sudden death. These cardiac phenotypes resemble the “arrhythmia type of cardiomyopathy” previously proposed by Sekiguchi et al. (2008). LMNA mutation may contribute in part to this type of cardiomyopathy. Further studies are needed to confirm this hypothesis.

**Progressive cardiac conduction disease in LMNA mutation carriers**

Two probands with two different LMNA mutations showed an AH block and one proband showed AH and HV blocks. In our LMNA mutation carriers, advanced AV block seemed to occur in the intra-AV nodal conduction system and expanded to the infra-His bundle level. Furthermore, VT was manifested by the affected cases (Family A III-2, Family C IV-1, and Family D III-2) (Fig. 1). One of the probands showed left bundle branch reentrant monomorphic VT, which could be managed by radio-frequency catheter ablation therapy. Expanded degeneration of the His-Purkinje conduction system may contribute to the substrate for VT. We have previously reported that AV nodal and LV myocardial degeneration were associated with LMNA mutations in autopsy cases with DCM (Otomo et al. 2005). Wolf et al. (2008) reported that lamin A/C haploinsufficiency caused early-onset programmed cell death of AV nodal myocytes and progressive electrophysiological disease in their mouse model (Wolf et al. 2008). However, they could not reveal the underlying molecular cellular events that led to apoptosis in the cardiac conduction system in their model.

In general, AV block was caused by various types of acute and chronic myocardial injury, including myocardial infarction, myocarditis, skeletal myopathy, maternal antibodies, and surgical complications. However, the most frequent cause of AV block is fibrosis of unknown etiology (Waller et al. 1993). In this study, we could not find LMNA mutations in 60 sporadic cases with advanced AV block. The contribution of LMNA mutations in sporadic cases was presumed to be insignificant (less than 0.83%). Age at onset of AV block in the sporadic cases was quite different from that in the familial cases, suggesting different underlying etiologies.

**Screening for LMNA mutations in patients with advanced AV block**

Patients implanted with a pacemaker due to high-degree AV block and/or atrial fibrillation had a worse prognosis than those with pacemaker due to sick sinus syndrome (Brunner et al. 2004). The incidence of second-degree AV block in DCM patients was 9.5% (Schoeller et al. 1993). AV block complications were also recognized as poor prognostic factors (Xiao et al. 1996) and required specific clinical management. It should be noted that some AV block patients have a poor prognosis even after pacemaker implantation because of subsequent manifestation of other ventricular arrhythmia or heart failure. To date, no information has been gathered on risk stratification in patients with advanced AV block. Mutations in alpha-subunit of cardiac sodium channels gene (SCN5A) have been associated with progressive AV block (Schott et al. 1999) and heart failure (Olson et al. 2005). SCN5A could be a genetic predisposing factor for “high-risk” advanced AV block. However, it has not yet been clarified.

In this study, we demonstrated that LMNA mutation carriers showed poor prognosis due to ventricular arrhythmia and sudden death, and pacemaker therapy alone may not be sufficient. Prophylactic implantation of ICD may be beneficial for prevention of sudden death in patients with LMNA mutation (Meune et al. 2006). Indeed, LMNA mutation could be regarded as a genetic predisposing factor for “high-risk” advanced AV block. Genetic screening of LMNA might be beneficial for risk stratification and clinical management of patients with advanced AV block. Screening of the LMNA mutations may be useful in middle-aged patients (about 45 years old) with advanced AV block, especially those with a familial background.

**Limitations of the study**

Several limitations should be mentioned for the present study. First, it was not designed as a regional or population-based epidemiological study. Thus, the exact prevalence of LMNA mutations in general AV block or DCM populations...
could not be determined from this study. Second, the number of affected family members was not sufficient for clinical data collection. Although, the clinical features of LMNA mutation carriers were considerably unique and specific, we could not exclude bias from the small group of patients. Third, this study could not establish the usefulness of genetic screening of LMNA to the patients studied. Future prospective study is needed to verify the usefulness of LMNA mutation screening.

Conclusion

We found LMNA mutations in familial cardiomyopathy with insignificant LV remodeling and early-age onset of advanced AV block, followed by ventricular arrhythmia and poor prognosis. Genetic screening of LMNA may be useful for risk stratification and clinical management of middle-aged patients with advanced AV block.
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